

THE
CHATFIELD APPLIED RESEARCH LABORATORIES LTD.

Directors: Dr. H. W. CHATFIELD, B.Sc., F.R.I.C., C.I.P.S., M.I.Chem.E., F.F.I., F.I.A.C. & I. CHATFIELD
Incorporating the Consulting Practices of W. H. Stevens, A.R.C.Sc., F.R.I.C. & Geochemical Laboratories

Registered Office & Laboratories:
13 Stafford Road, Croydon, Surrey, CR0 4NG, England
Telephone: 01-683 5684

Other Laboratories:
NEWTON HOUSE, BYERS LANE, S. GODSTONE, SURREY
Telephone: S. Godstone 3344

SPECIALIST CONSULTANTS FOR SURFACE COATINGS (PAINTS, LACQUERS, SYNTHETIC RESINS, PRINTING INK, ADHESIVES, ETC.), SEALANTS, ROAD AND BUILDING MATERIALS, RUBBERS, PLASTICS, GEOCHEMISTRY

Quality Assurance Directorate (Materials), and British Standards Institution Approved Test House

RESEARCH LABORATORY REPORT

Date 15th February 1973

Serial No. RLR.1.

Subject ASSESSMENT OF COMPOSIL CARPET TREATMENT

Instigator R.T. Crosby Esq., Messrs Composil Ltd.

REPORT

DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLES

1 Tine marked COMPOSIL containing the treatment fluid.

3 pieces of green Wilton Carpet each approximately 3ft x 4ft 6 ins were used in the tests.

Each piece was of a similar type of carpet but with different ultimate treatment as follows:-

- 1). Carpet treated with COMPOSIL designated Type I (Specimens numbered 100 - 199).
- 2). Carpet untreated as received designated Type II (specimens numbered 200 - 299).
- 3). Carpet treated by washing in detergent designated Type III (specimens numbered 300 - 399).

The detergent used was TELEPOL "H" POWDER which was made into a 5% solution by dissolving in water. The pile surface of the carpet was scrubbed with the detergent suds using a stiff household brush. Rinsing was done by sponging the pile surface with a damp sponge frequently rinsed in clean water. The cleaning procedure above was repeated once more and the carpet was then dried, flat on the floor using a fan heater.

TESTS & RESULTS

1. Resistance to Soiling Agents.

Each of the 3 types of carpet was soiled by the application of 5 ml (one teaspoonful) of sixteen different soiling agents to the pile surface of 6 x 3 in rectangles of the carpets.

Continuation Sheet 2

There is some evidence that the Composil treatment reduces wear by comparing results with treated and untreated carpet.

The water repellency of the Composil treated carpet is maintained at a high level after subjected to wearing, and is also resistant to soiling.

Persistent wiping of the Composil treated carpet causes some slight loss in weight but water repellency is very well maintained as is resistance to soiling.

After the volatile carrier of Composil has disappeared the active residue shows a useful degree of permanency.

THE CHATFIELD APPLIED RESEARCH LABORATORIES LTD.

Signed.

H. W. CHATFIELD (DR.)

THE
CHATFIELD APPLIED RESEARCH LABORATORIES LTD.

Directors: Dr. H. W. CHATFIELD, B.Sc., F.R.I.C., C.Bag., M.Chem.E., F.P.I., F.I.A.I. D. J. CHATFIELD

Incorporating the Consulting Practices of W. H. Stevens, A.R.C.Sc., F.R.I.C. & Geochemical Laboratories

Registered Office & Laboratories

13 Stafford Road, Croydon, Surrey, CR0 4NG, England

Telephone: 01-428 5134

Other Laboratories:

NEWTON HOUSE, BYERS LANE, S. GODSTONE, SURREY

Telephone: S. Godstone 3344

SPECIALIST CONSULTANTS FOR SURFACE COATINGS (PAINTS, LACQUERS, SYNTHETIC
RESINS, PRINTING INK, ADHESIVES, ETC.), SEALANTS, ROAD AND BUILDING MATERIALS,
RUBBERS, PLASTICS, GEOCHEMISTRY

Quality Assurance Directorate (Materials), and British Standards Institution Approved Test House

RESEARCH LABORATORY REPORT

Date 15th February 1973

Serial No. 1

Subject ASSESSMENT OF COMPOFIL CARPET TREATMENT

Instigator R. T. Crosby Esq., Messrs Composit Ltd.

REPORT

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

The Composit treatment of the Wilton carpet is effective in eliminating or reducing staining or soiling which might otherwise be caused by a range of possible soilants.

Its efficiency has been assessed by both cold and hot application of soilants, shortly after their application and twenty four hours later.

The Composit treatment gives very good water repellency both initially and after water has been in contact for at least an hour. It operates by preventing the water from wetting or spreading over and into the pile.

The Composit treatment has been shown to penetrate the pile. The presence of the Composit treatment throughout the depth of the pile has been shown quantitatively by extraction and weighing, by infra-red spectrophotometric analysis, and practically by water repellency on pile at different depths.

	CARPET TYPE		
	I (Composit Treated)	II (Untreated)	III (Untreated and Washed)
<u>Bass Export Ale</u>	172	272	372
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 Gentle dabs - No stain	No stain	Trace of stain
<u>After 24 hours</u>	173	273	373
	2 Gentle dabs - no stain.	No stain	Slight stain
<u>Whisky</u>	158	258	358
<u>After 20 secs</u>	Soaked in quickly - removed by 2 firm dabs - no stain	No stain	No stain
<u>Rum</u>	164	264	364
<u>After 20 secs</u>	Soaked in quickly - 2 dabs required - no stain	No stain	Trace of stain
<u>Blackcurrant Juice (Ribena)</u>	154	254	354
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 Gentle dabs - No stain.	Pronounced stain.	Pronounced stain.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	155	255	355
	2 gentle dabs, 1 wipe but no stain.	Pronounced	Stained
<u>Orange Juice</u>	152	252	352
<u>After 20 secs</u>	1 dab and 2 wipes - no stain	Trace of stain	Trace of stain
<u>After 24 hours</u>	153	253	353
	2 dabs & 2 wipes - required - no stain	Stained	Stained

/.....

	CARPET TYPE		
	I (Composit treated)	II (Untreated)	III (Untreated & Washed)
<u>Tomato Soup</u>	150	250	350
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 dabs & 2 wipes. - No stain.	Solled & Stained	Solled & Stained
<u>Fountain Pen Ink</u>	168	268	368
<u>After 20 secs.</u>	One gentle dab only - No stain.	Stained.	Stained.
<u>White Emulsion Paint</u>	167	267	367
<u>After 24 hours.</u>	Paint dried & mostly removed from carpet followed by 12 firm wipes but white still persisted.	Worse than Type I	Worse than Type I
<u>Port.</u>	160	260	360
<u>After 20 secs.</u>	2 firm dabs - No stain.	Trace of stain.	Negligible stain.
<u>Oxtail Soup</u>	162	262	362
<u>After 20 secs</u>	6 Firm wipes - No stain.	Slight stain.	Slight stain.
<u>Milk</u>	156	256	356
<u>After 20 secs.</u>	2 Gentle dabs - no stain.	Stained.	Stained.

HOT APPLICATION RESULTS

<u>Tea</u> (Just off the boil, from the pot)	105	205	305
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 gentle dabs required to remove. No stain remained.	Trace of stain	Trace of stain
<u>After 24 hours</u>	106	206	306
	2 gentle dabs - No staining.	Slight stain.	Trace of stain.

/.....

	CARPET TYPE		
	I (Composit Treated)	II (Untreated)	III (Untreated & Washed)
<u>Coffee - Black</u> (from the pot, just off the boil)	107	207	307
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 gentle dabs - No stain.	Trace of stain.	Trace of stain.
<u>Chocolare</u> (just off the boil)	109	209	309
<u>After 20 secs</u>	No stain.	Trace of stain.	Trace of stain.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	110 2 dabs & 2 wipes - Negligible stain.	210 Slight stain.	310 Slight stain.
<u>Blackcurrant Juice</u> (70° C)	115	215	315
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 gentle dabs - No stain	Slight stain.	Slight stain.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	116 2 gentle dabs - No stain.	216 Pronounced stain.	316 Pronounced stain.
<u>Orange Juice</u> (70° C)	117	217	317
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 dabs & 2 wipes. No stain.	Trace soiled.	Trace soiled.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	118 2 dabs & 2 wipes - No stain.	218 Slightly soiled.	318 Slightly soiled.
<u>Tomato Soup</u> (Just off the boil)	119	219	319
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 dabs & 2 wipes. No stain.	Slightly soiled.	Slightly soiled.

/.....

	CARPET TYPE		
	I (Composit treated)	II (Untreated)	III (Untreated & Washed)
<u>Port</u> (70° C)	121	221	321
<u>After 20 secs.</u>	2 gentle dabs- No stain.	Trace of stain.	Trace of stain.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	122	222	322
	2 gentle dabs- No stain.	Slightly stained.	Trace of stain.
<u>Oxtail Soup</u> (just off the boil)	123	223	323
<u>After 20 secs.</u>	2 dabs & 4 wipes No trace of soiling.	Slightly soiled.	Slightly soiled.
<u>Milk</u> Just off the boil.	125	225	325
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 gentle dabs- No stain.	Trace soiled.	Trace soiled.
<u>After 24 hours.</u>	126	226	326
	2 gentle dabs- No stain.	Trace soiled.	Trace soiled.

ASSESSMENT OF WATER REPELLENCE

SHORT TERM

Water applied and shaken off after 20 seconds.

Pieces of each type of carpet were treated by allowing 5 ml. of water to stand on the pile surface for 20 seconds. Any water not absorbed by the carpet and still on the pile surface was removed by light shaking. The increase in weight of the carpet enabled its water repellency to be calculated.

<u>Carpet Type</u>	I (Composit treated)	II (Untreated)	III (Untreated & washed)
<u>Water Repellency</u>			
<u>After 20 secs.</u>	99.2%	55.0%	0%

/.....

LONG TERM

Water shaken off after 1 hour.

Method as for short test.

<u>Carpet Type</u>	I (Composit treated)	II (Untreated)	III (Untreated & washed)
<u>Water repellency</u>			
<u>After 1 hour</u>	98%	4.4%	0%

ASSESSMENT OF PENETRATION OF COMPOSIT TREATMENT
THROUGH CARPET PILE

Pile was cut from pieces of treated (Type I) carpet at three different levels, and the amount of COMPOSIT on the pile was determined by solvent extraction.

A similar extraction was made on the whole pile from a piece of untreated carpet (Type II).

Water repellency (as previous) was also measured at the different depths.

<u>Pile Type</u>	<u>Top 40% depth.</u>	<u>Centre 20%</u>	<u>Bottom 40% depth</u>
Benzene soluble matter.	5.95 %	2.66 %	1.95 %

The benzene soluble matter i.e. natural oils etc. in the untreated carpet was found to be 0.87 % of the pile.

Subtracting this figure from the above 3 results gives the amount of COMPOSIT, excluding natural oils, at the different depths through the pile.

	5.08 %	1.79 %	1.08 %
--	--------	--------	--------

Infra - red spectrophotometric examination of benzene soluble matter from Composit treated and untreated carpets.

The three spectra of the extracts from the top, middle and lowest parts of the pile from the Composit treated carpet all show definite evidence for the presence of active ingredients from the Composit, manifested by the Infra-red absorptions at 8.0, 9.8 and 12.5 microns wavelength, previously noted as being characteristic of the active ingredients of the Composit. It is noteworthy that the spectra of extracts from the top surface and centre part of the pile are very similar to the spectrum of the active ingredients from the Composit, while that from the lowest portion of the pile, although it exhibits the Composit active ingredient absorptions, resembles more closely the spectrum obtained from the greasy and oily matter extracted from an untreated carpet. This observation shows that Composit has penetrated throughout the carpet pile, but in lesser amount at lower levels of the pile than at upper levels as would be expected.

/.....

Thus from the infra-red studies it may be concluded that:-

Comparison of the infra-red spectra for the top, middle and lowest parts of the pile with the spectrum of the active ingredients of Composit indicates that these active ingredients are present throughout the pile.

<u>Water Repellency</u>	<u>40% Cut off</u>	<u>60% Cut off</u>	<u>All pile cut off</u>
<u>After 20 seconds</u>	99.8 %	99.8 %	99.8 %
After 1 hour	97.6 %	94.6 %	3.96 %

Assessment of Wear Resistance of carpet treated with COMPOSIT.

Pieces of each type of carpet were subjected to

Artificial wearing of the pile and to attempted wiping off of the Composit.

Following the wear test and separate wiping tests the loss in weight of each type of carpet was calculated (loss of pile):

The Retention of Water Repellency was assessed.

The Retention of Resistance to Soiling Agents was assessed.

The wearing test was made by subjecting the pile to a reciprocating block covered with coarse emery cloth and loaded with 650 g. 1000 complete cycles were given to each piece of carpet. A similar method was used for rubbing the carpets except that the emery was replaced by soft drill cloth.

Wear Resistance to Abrasive Rubbing.

Loss In Weight of pile.

<u>Carpet Type</u>	<u>I (Composit treated)</u>	<u>II (Untreated)</u>	<u>III (Untreated & washed)</u>
Weight loss after 1000 rub cycles	720 mg	840 mg	550 mg

These losses are for test areas $3\frac{1}{2}$ " x $1\frac{1}{2}$ ".

Retention of Water Repellency

<u>Water Repellency</u>			
<u>After 20 secs</u>	99.2%	55.6%	7.4%
<u>Water Repellency</u>			
After 1 hour	96.0%	6.4%	1.6%

Retention of Resistance to Soiling Agents

Pieces of each type of carpet which had been abraded with emery cloth and others which had been rubbed with the drill cloth, as described above, were soiled with 5 different agents (cold) and cleaned after 20 seconds and 24 hours.

TESTS ON EMERY WORN CARPETS

	<u>CARPET TYPE</u>		
	<u>I</u> (Composit treated)	<u>II</u> (Untreated)	<u>III</u> (Untreated & washed)
<u>SOILING AGENT</u>			
<u>Coffee</u> Black from the pot	187	287	387
<u>After 20 secs</u>	Removed by 2 dabs of sponge - no stain.	Trace of stain.	Trace of stain.
<u>Blackcurrant</u> (Ribena)	183	283	383
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 gentle dabs - No stain.	Trace of stain.	Pronounced stain.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	1 gentle dab plus 1 firm dab- No stain.	Pronounced stain.	Pronounced stain.
<u>Tomato Soup</u>	182	282	382
<u>After 24 hours</u>	2 firm dabs plus 12 firm wipes- trace of soiling.	Pronounced soiling	Pronounced soiling
<u>Fountain pen Ink</u>	186	286	386
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 dabs required No stain.	Pronounced stain	Pronounced stain
<u>After 24 hours</u>	3 dabs required Trace stain	Very pronounced stain	Very pronounced stain.
<u>Milk</u>	184	284	384
<u>After 20 secs.</u>	2 gentle dabs- No stain.	Trace stain	Trace stain.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	2 gentle dabs- No stain.	Trace soiling	Trace soiling.

/.....

Wear Resistance to Drill Cloth RubbingLoss in weight of pile

<u>Carpet Type</u>	I (Composit treated)	II (Untreated)	III (Untreated & washed)
Weight loss after 1000 rub cycles.	122 mg	94 mg	65 mg

These losses are for test areas of 3½" x 1½"

Retention of Water Repellency

<u>After 20 secs</u>	99.6%	56.1%	9.1%
<u>After 1 hour</u>	98.2%	12.0%	2.8%

Retention of Resistance to Soiling Agents.

Methods as used for Emery cloth.

Soiling Agent

<u>Blackcurrant Juice</u> (Ribena)	189	289	389
<u>After 20 secs</u>	Removed by 1 gentle plus 1 firm dab - No stain.	Slight stain.	Pronounced stain.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	Removed by 1 gentle plus 1 firm dab - No stain.	Pronounced stain.	Pronounced stain.
<u>Tomato Soup</u>	188	288	388
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 Firm dabs of sponge plus 4 hard rubs - No trace of soiling.	Slight soiling.	Soiled.
<u>After 24 hours</u>	2 Firm dabs plus 4 hard rubs - Slight soiling.	Soiled.	Soiled.
<u>Fountain Pen Ink</u>	192	292	392
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 dabs of sponge No stain.	Slight stain	Pronounced stain.
<u>After 24 hours.</u>	2 dabs of sponge Trace of stain.	Pronounced stain	Pronounced stain.

	I (Composit treated)	II (Untreated)	III (Untreated & Washed)
<u>Oxtail Soup</u>	191	291	391
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 gentle dabs plus 2 firm wipes. Trace of soiling.	Slight soiling.	Soiled.
<u>Milk</u>	190	290	390
<u>After 20 secs</u>	2 gentle dabs - No stain.	No stain	No stain
<u>After 24 hours</u>	2 gentle dabs. - No stain.	Trace of soiling.	Trace of soiling

Permanence of Non Volatile Residues

Small amounts of the Composit fluid was placed in weighed dishes and allowed to evaporate at different temperatures, namely 15° C, 25° C and 40° C. The dishes were reweighed daily to assess whether the non-volatile residues continued to lose weight or was permanent.

The results showed that permanence was in fact achieved after 6 days at 15° c and at 25° C, whereas at 40° C permanence was achieved after only 3 days and no further losses occurred.

These results are illustrated in the accompanying diagram. This shows initial loss of volatile matter but thereafter the amount of active residue remains virtually unchanged.

THE CHATFIELD APPLIED RESEARCH LABORATORIES LTD.

H. W. CHATFIELD (DR.)